EXHIBIT 1

1	Benjamin Z. Rubin (CA-249630)		
2	brubin@nossaman.com NOSSAMAN LLP		
3	18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800 Irvine, CA 92612		
4	Telephone: (949) 833-7800 Facsimile: (949) 833-7878		
5	George J. Mannina, Jr., pro hac vice		
6	gmannina@nossaman.com NOSSAMAN LLP		
7	1666 K Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20006		
8	Telephone: (202) 887-1400 Facsimile: (202) 466-3215		
9	Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Defendants CALIFORNIA SEA URCHIN COMMISSION,		
10	PETER HALMAY, HARRY LIQUORNIK,		
11	CALIFORNIA ABALONE ASSOCIATION, AND SONOMA COUNTY ABALONE NETWORK		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
15	THE OTTER PROJECT; ENVIRONMENTAL) Case No: 5:09-cv-04610-JW	
16	DEFENSE CENTER,	CALIFORNIA SEA URCHIN COMMISSION,	
17	Plaintiffs,	PETER HALMAY, HARRY LIQUORNIK, CALIFORNIA ABALONE ASSOCIATION,	
18	VS.	AND SONOMA COUNTY ABALONE NETWORK'S [PROPOSED] ANSWER IN	
19	KEN SALAZAR, et al.,	INTERVENTION) [Filed Concurrently With:	
20	Defendants.	1) Declaration of California Sea UrchinCommission;	
21		2) Declaration of Peter Halmay;3) Declaration of Harry Liquornik;	
22		 4) Declaration of California Abalone Association; 5) Declaration of Sonoma County Abalone 	
23		Network; and Proposed Order	
24		Hearing Date: March 8, 2010	
25		Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 8, 4 th Floor	
26			
27			
28			
	162179_1.DOC	Case No: 5:09-cv-04610-JW	
	CALIFORNIA GEA LIDGUINA GOA GAGGAONA	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	

CALIFORNIA SEA URCHIN COMMISSION, et al.'s [PROPOSED] ANSWER IN INTERVENTION

ANSWER IN INTERVENTION

The California Sea Urchin Commission, Peter Halmay, Harry Liquornik, California Abalone Association, and Sonoma County Abalone Network ("Proposed Intervenors"), by and through counsel, answer Plaintiffs' Complaint as follows.

- 1. The allegations in paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint consist of a characterization of Plaintiff's action to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations. Proposed Intervenors specifically deny any allegation that the translocation and management rule for the southern sea otter has failed.
- 2. With respect to the allegations in the first, third, and fourth sentences of paragraph 2, Proposed Intervenors answer that the documents referenced best speak for themselves. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 2.
- 3. With respect to the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 3, Proposed Intervenors admit only that the fishing industry was concerned about sea otter predation on shellfish and the resulting impact on the viability of shellfish populations. With respect to the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 3, Proposed Intervenors assert that the referenced document best speaks for itself. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in the third and fourth sentences of paragraph 3.
- 4. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in paragraph 4, except Proposed Intervenors admit that in 1991 the Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS") stopped translocating sea otters to San Nicolas Island.
- 5. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 5, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 6. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in paragraph 6, except Proposed Intervenors admit that FWS has conducted periodic assessments of the relocation program which documents best speak for themselves.

- 7. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations of the first two sentences of paragraph 7, except to admit that southern sea otters have migrated into the no otter zone. With respect to the allegations contained in the third, fourth, and fifth sentences of paragraph 7, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced documents best speak for themselves.
 - 8. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations of paragraph 8.
- 9. The allegations contained in paragraph 9 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 10. The allegations contained in paragraph 10 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 11. Proposed Intervenors lack knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 11.
- 12. Proposed Intervenors lack knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 12.
- 13. Proposed Intervenors lack knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 13.
- 14. Proposed Intervenors lack knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 14, except Proposed Intervenors deny the allegation that there are violations of law.
- 15. Proposed Intervenors lack knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 15, except Proposed Intervenors deny the allegation that there are violations of law.
 - 16. Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations in paragraph 16.
 - 17. Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations in paragraph 17.
 - 18. Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations in paragraph 18.

- 19. Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations in paragraph 19.
- 20. The allegations contained in paragraph 20 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 21. The allegations contained in paragraph 21 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 22. The allegations contained in paragraph 22 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 23. The allegations contained in paragraph 23 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 24. The allegations contained in paragraph 24 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 25. The allegations contained in paragraph 25 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 26. The allegations contained in paragraph 26 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 27. The allegations contained in paragraph 27 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 28. The allegations contained in paragraph 28 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 29. The allegations contained in paragraph 29 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 30. The allegations contained in paragraph 30 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.

- 31. The allegations contained in paragraph 31 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 32. The allegations contained in paragraph 32 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 33. The allegations contained in paragraph 33 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 34. The allegations contained in paragraph 34 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 35. Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations in the first and third sentences of paragraph 35 and deny the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 35 except to admit that sea otters prey on shellfish including urchins and abalone.
 - 36. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in paragraph 36.
- 37. Proposed Intervenors deny that sea otters historically numbered between 500,000-1,000,000 animals but admit the remaining allegations in paragraph 37.
- 38. Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations in the first and third sentences of paragraph 38 but deny the allegations in the second sentence.
 - 39. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in paragraph 39.
- 40. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 40, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself, except Proposed Intervenors admit the southern sea otter was listed as a threatened species in 1977.
- 41. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 41. The allegations contained in the remainder of paragraph 41 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.

- 42. The first sentence of paragraph 42 constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required. With respect to the remaining allegations of paragraph 42, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 43. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 43, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 44. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 44, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 45. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 43, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 46. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 46, Proposed Intervenors admit only that some fishing groups expressed concerns about the significant impact of sea otter expansion and predation on shellfish fisheries.
- 47. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 47, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 48. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 48, Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 49. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 49, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 50. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 50, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 51. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 51, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 52. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 52, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced hearings and documents best speak for themselves.

- 53. The allegations contained in paragraph 53 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 54. The allegations contained in paragraph 54 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
 - 55. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations contained in paragraph 55.
- 56. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 56, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 57. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 57, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 58. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 58, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations contained in the last sentence of paragraph 58.
- 59. The allegations contained in paragraph 59 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 60. The allegations contained in paragraph 60 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 61. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 61, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 62. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 62, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 63. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 63, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 64. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 64, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.

- 65. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 65, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself. The allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 65 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 66. The allegations contained in paragraph 66 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 67. The allegations contained in paragraph 67 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 68. The allegations contained in paragraph 68 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 69. The allegations contained in paragraph 69 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 70. The allegations contained in paragraph 70 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 71. The allegations contained in paragraph 71 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 72. The allegations contained in paragraph 72 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 73. The allegations contained in paragraph 73 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 74. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 74, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 75. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 75, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.

- 76. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 76, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 77. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 77, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 78. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 78, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself, except that Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in the last sentence of paragraph 78.
- 79. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 79 Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 80. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 80, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 81. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 81, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 82. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 82, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 82.
- 83. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 83, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 84. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 84, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 85. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 85, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 86. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 86, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.

- 87. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 87, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 88. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 88, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 89. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 89, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document and people best speak for themselves.
- 90. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 90, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
 - 91. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in paragraph 91.
- 92. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 92, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 93. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 93, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 94. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 94, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 95. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 95, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 96. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 96, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 97. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 97, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 98. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 98, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.

- 99. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 99, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.100. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 100, Proposed Intervenors answer
- 101. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 101, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.

that the referenced document best speaks for itself.

- 102. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 102, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 103. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 103, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 104. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 104 Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 105. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 105, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 106. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 106, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 107. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 107, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
 - 108. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in paragraph 108.
- 109. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 109, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 110. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 110, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.

- 111. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 111, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 112. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 112, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 113. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 113, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 114. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 114, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 115. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 115, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
- 116. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 116, Proposed Intervenors answer that the referenced document best speaks for itself.
 - 117. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in paragraph 117.
- 118. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference, repeat, and respond as if fully set forth in this place, each and every response contained in paragraphs 1 through 117 inclusive.
- 119. The allegations contained in paragraph 119 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 120. The allegations contained in paragraph 120 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 121. The allegations contained in paragraph 121 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 122. The allegations contained in paragraph 122 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.

1	Dated: December 17, 2009	Respectfully submitted,
2		NOSSAMAN LLP
3		BENJAMIN Z. RUBIN GEORGE J. MANNINA, JR., pro hac vice
4		GEORGE V. IVII (11) 11, VI., pro nue vice
5		<u>/s/</u> Benjamin Z. Rubin
6		Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Defendants
7		Auorneys for 1 roposeu Intervenor-Defendants
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		14 Case No: 5:09-cv-04610-JW
	CALIFORNIA SEA URCHIN O	Commission, et al.'s [Proposed] Answer in intervention